Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,198	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Sylvia R MacArthur</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eugene Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 December 2003</u>	Time: <u>9:00 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6,9, and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: none	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Examiner MacArthur contacted Mr. Lee to recommend an e 9 and 10 The examiner's amendment can be found herew	examiner's amendment to claim 6 an	AS DISCUSSED: ad change the dependancy of claims
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the 	ritten summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)